

available at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)journal homepage: [www.ejconline.com](http://www.ejconline.com)

## Review

# Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: Prevention and treatment strategies

Sherry Wolf\*, Debra Barton, Lisa Kottschade, Axel Grothey, Charles Loprinzi

Department of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 22 April 2008

Accepted 29 April 2008

Available online 18 June 2008

#### Keywords:

Neuropathy

Chemotherapy

Prevention

Paclitaxel

### ABSTRACT

Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is a major dose limiting side effect of many commonly used chemotherapeutic agents, including platinum drugs, taxanes, epothilones and vinca alkaloids, and also newer agents such as bortezomib and lenolidamide. Symptom control studies have been conducted looking at ways to prevent or alleviate established CIPN. This manuscript provides a review of studies directed at both of these areas. New evidence strongly suggests that intravenous calcium and magnesium therapy can attenuate the development of oxaliplatin-induced CIPN, without reducing treatment response. Accumulating data suggest that vitamin E may also attenuate the development of CIPN, but more data regarding its efficacy and safety should be obtained prior to its general use in patients. Other agents that look promising in preliminary studies, but need substantiation, include glutamine, glutathione, N-acetylcysteine, oxcarbazepine, and xaliproden. Effective treatment of established CIPN, however, has yet to be found. Lastly, paclitaxel causes a unique acute pain syndrome which has been hypothesised to be caused by neurologic injury. No drugs, to date, have been proven to prevent this toxicity.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is a major dose limiting side effect of many older commonly used chemotherapeutic agents, including platinum drugs, taxanes, epothilones and vinca alkaloids, but also newer agents such as bortezomib and lenolidamide (Table 1).<sup>1,2</sup> The incidence of CIPN can be variable, but often ranges from 30 to 40% of patients receiving chemotherapy. A number of factors influence the incidence of CIPN in patients receiving neurotoxic chemotherapy, including patient age, dose intensity, cumulative dose, therapy duration, coadministration of other neurotoxic chemotherapy agents, and pre-existing conditions such as diabetes and alcohol abuse. While symptoms may resolve

completely, in some instances CIPN is only partly reversible, and in other cases it does not appear to be reversible at all.<sup>1,3</sup>

CIPN can be extremely painful and/or disabling, causing significant loss of functional abilities and decreasing quality of life. Neurotoxic chemotherapeutic agents may cause structural damage to peripheral nerves resulting in aberrant somatosensory processing of the peripheral and/or central nervous system.<sup>4</sup> This resultant peripheral neuropathy can potentially affect both small fibre axons (temperature, pin prick) and large fibre sensory axons (vibration, proprioception). A common clinical course begins with paraesthesias (tingling) and dysaesthesias, commonly located in the toes and fingers. These symptoms then spread proximally to affect both lower and upper extremities in a characteristic

\* Corresponding author: Tel.: +507 538 2098; fax: +507 538 8300.

E-mail address: [wolf.sherry@mayo.edu](mailto:wolf.sherry@mayo.edu) (S. Wolf).

0959-8049/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2008.04.018

**Table 1 – Chemotherapeutic agents causing peripheral neuropathy<sup>1,2</sup>**

Platinum agents
cisplatin
carboplatin
oxaliplatin
Vinca alkaloids
Vincristine
vinblastine
Taxanes
paclitaxel
docetaxel
Epothilones
ixabepalone
Newer agents
bortezomib
thalidomide
lenolidamide

'glove and stocking' distribution.<sup>5</sup> CIPN has not been adequately characterised nor the pain quantified clinically and can occur at various points in the pathogenic process. Further details regarding how these different agents cause CIPN and the resultant symptoms have been discussed in recent review articles.<sup>1,2</sup>

Compared to other neuropathies or neuropathic pain syndromes, there is a resemblance to diabetic neuropathy with similar glove and stocking distribution and other characteristics, such as pain, paraesthesias, and dysaesthesias. However, treatments for diabetic neuropathies are not necessarily helpful for preventing or treating neuropathies associated with chemotherapy.

Given the prevalence of CIPN, and that it can be dose-limiting for several cytotoxic drugs, symptom control studies have been conducted looking at ways to prevent or alleviate established CIPN. Studies directed at both of these areas are reviewed below, with randomised trials being summarised in Tables 2 and 3.

## 2. Prevention of CIPN

### 2.1. Calcium and magnesium infusions

It was hypothesised that the administration of intravenous calcium and magnesium (CaMg) might help prevent oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathies, reasoning that increasing the concentration of extracellular calcium has been demonstrated to facilitate sodium channel closing and thus this would potentially decrease the observed oxaliplatin-induced hyperexcitability of peripheral neurons.<sup>6</sup> In a retrospective, non-randomised study, 161 patients with advanced colorectal cancer were included who had been treated with three different oxaliplatin-based protocols.<sup>7</sup> Ninety-six patients of this series received intravenous calcium gluconate 1 g and magnesium sulphate 1 g before and after oxaliplatin; the remaining 65 patients served as a historic control group. The median cumulative administered oxaliplatin dose was 910 mg/m<sup>2</sup> in the CaMg group compared with 650 mg/m<sup>2</sup> in the control group. Only 4% of patients in the CaMg group, compared to 31% of the control group, had to stop chemother-

apy due to neurotoxicity ( $p = 0.000003$ ). At the end of treatment, 27% of the CaMg group, versus 75% of the control group, showed signs of neurotoxicity of any grade. Laryngopharyngeal dysaesthesias affected 9% of the control patients and were not reported in the patients receiving CaMg. Likewise, grade 3 neurotoxicity was less frequently observed in the CaMg group (8% versus 20%,  $p = 0.003$ ) and more patients with CaMg remained on chemotherapy after 9 months (15% versus 9%). The overall anti-tumour efficacy of treatment did not appear to be affected. In fact, patients were able to stay on therapy for a longer period of time, thus potentially enjoying prolonged benefit from oxaliplatin-based therapy.<sup>7</sup>

Based on the above data, the North Central Cancer Treatment Group (NCCTG) developed a prospective randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blinded clinical trial (N04C7) to look at intravenous CaMg in patients receiving oxaliplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. This protocol was developed with plans for enrolling 300 patients.

While the NCCTG trial was accruing patients on the above noted trial, another trial was also addressing this issue. The CONcePT (Combined Oxaliplatin Neurotoxicity Prevention Trial) trial was developed using a 2 × 2 study design, to try to study potential means to reduce oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity by different chemotherapy scheduling options (intermittent oxaliplatin) and also by the use of CaMg. In mid-2007, an interim analysis of unadjudicated data presented to the independent data monitoring committee suggested that there was a significantly lower response rate in the group getting CaMg, versus the placebo group,<sup>8</sup> which led to study closure and also concomitantly terminated the NCCTG trial N04C7. Subsequently, however, independent radiologic review of CT scans from the CONcePT trial delineated that the antitumour response rate was actually numerically higher in the group getting CaMg, than in the group receiving the placebo.<sup>9</sup>

When the data from the double-blinded NCCTG CaMg trial (N04C7) were analysed, they revealed that there was less grade 2 or worse neurotoxicity in the patients receiving CaMg versus placebo (22% versus 41% by NCI Common Toxicity Criteria,  $p = 0.04$ , and 28% versus 51% by an oxaliplatin specific neuropathy scale,  $p = 0.02$ ).<sup>10</sup> In addition, data are also emerging from a French study, entitled 'NEUROXA', whereby 144 patients with colorectal cancer in the adjuvant and palliative setting were randomised, in a double-blind manner, to get CaMg versus a placebo. Early analyses of data from this trial have become available, revealing that objective response rates and survivals are equivalent in the two arms.<sup>11</sup> This group also reported that there was substantially less neurotoxicity in one group versus the other (5% versus 24% of grade 3 NCI Common Toxicity Criteria,  $p < 0.001$ ). The blind for this trial has not yet been broken.

Thus, there are data to support that CaMg is effective for preventing oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity and that this treatment does not interfere with oxaliplatin-based antitumour activity. More information should become available regarding this preventative treatment in the very near future.

### 2.2. Vitamin E

There are data to suggest that vitamin E, a fat soluble vitamin classified as an antioxidant, may potentially decrease the

**Table 2 – Randomised controlled trials for prevention of CIPN**

Agent/Author	Number of patients	Findings	Comments
<b>Vitamin E</b>			
Pace 2003 <sup>12</sup>	47	CIPN in 31% patients with vitamin E versus 86% without ( $p < 0.01$ )	Open label; cisplatin
Argyriou 2005 <sup>14</sup>	40	CIPN in 25% patients with vitamin E versus 73.3% without vitamin E ( $p = 0.019$ ).	Open label; cisplatin, paclitaxel, or combination cisplatin/ paclitaxel
Argyriou 2006 <sup>69</sup>	35	CIPN in 21% of patients with vitamin E group versus 66% without ( $p = 0.026$ ).	Open label; cisplatin
Pace 2007 <sup>13</sup>	81	Median CIPN score lower in the vitamin E group ( $p < 0.05$ )	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded cisplatin; results based on interim analysis of the first 50 patients, clinical trial ongoing
<b>Calcium/Magnesium</b>			
Nikcevic 2008 <sup>10</sup>	104	CIPN occurred in 22% versus 41% by NCI Common Toxicity Criteria ( $p = 0.04$ ) and 28% versus 51% by an oxaliplatin specific neuropathy scale ( $p = 0.02$ )	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded oxaliplatin
<b>Glutamine</b>			
Wang 2007 <sup>23</sup>	86	Less grade 1–2 (17% versus 39%) and grade 3–4 CIPN after four cycles (5% versus 18%) and six cycles (12% versus 32%)	Open-label; oxaliplatin; no differences in chemotherapy response
<b>Glutathione</b>			
Cascinu 2002 <sup>25</sup>	52	Significantly less peripheral neuropathy any grade cycles 4 and 8 ( $p = 0.04$ ), as well as less grade 3–4 neuropathy at cycle 8 ( $p = 0.01$ )	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; oxaliplatin; no differences in chemotherapy response
Smyth 1997 <sup>26</sup>	152	CIPN incidence significantly decreased in treatment arm (31%) versus control (75%) ( $p = 0.033$ )	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; cisplatin
Cassinu 1995 <sup>24</sup>	50	After 15 weeks, 4/24 treatment arm versus 16/18 placebo arm experienced neurotoxicity ( $p = 0.0001$ )	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; cisplatin
<b>N-acetylcysteine</b>			
Lin 2006 <sup>27</sup>	14	5/7 patients in the control group and 0/7 in the treatment group experienced grade 2–4 neuropathy ( $p < 0.05$ ). The incidence of grade 2–4 neuropathy after 12 cycles of chemotherapy was significantly less in the treatment group ( $p < 0.05$ ).	Placebo-controlled; oxaliplatin
<b>Oxcarbazepine</b>			
Argyriou 2006 <sup>29</sup>	40	Incidence of peripheral neuropathy was significantly decreased in treatment arm (31%) versus control arm (75%) ( $p = 0.03$ )	Open label; oxaliplatin
<b>Xaliproden</b>			
Cassidy 2006 <sup>30</sup>	649	17% of patients receiving xaliproden versus 11% of patients receiving placebo experienced grade 3 CIPN	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded oxaliplatin; no differences in chemotherapy response
<b>Amifostine</b>			
Leong 2003 <sup>34</sup>	66	Not effective	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; paclitaxel and carboplatin
Hilpert 2005 <sup>31</sup>	72	Not effective	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; paclitaxel and carboplatin
<b>Nimodipine</b>			
Cassidy 1998 <sup>36</sup>	51	Not effective	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; neurotoxicity scores were significantly lower in placebo patients ( $p = 0.002$ )
<b>Org 2766</b>			
van der Hoop 1990 <sup>37</sup>	55	Vibration perception was maintained on both active arms compared to placebo	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded cisplatin
Roberts 1997 <sup>39</sup>	220	Not effective	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; cisplatin; may increase the rate and degree of neuropathies ( $p > 0.05$ )
Koepfen 2004 <sup>38</sup>	150	Not effective	Placebo-controlled; vincristine
<b>rhuLIF</b>			
Davis 2005 <sup>40</sup>	117	Not effective	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; combination carboplatin/ paclitaxel

**Table 3 – Randomised controlled trials for treatment of CIPN**

Agent/Author	Number of Patients	Findings	Comments
<b>Nortriptyline</b>			
Hammack 2002 <sup>41</sup>	57	No CIPN benefit observed	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; crossover; cisplatin
<b>Amitriptyline</b>			
Kautio 2008 <sup>43</sup>	44	No CIPN benefit observed	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded
<b>Gabapentin</b>			
Rao 2007 <sup>46</sup>	115	No CIPN benefit observed	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded; crossover
<b>Lamotrigine</b>			
Rao 2008 <sup>47</sup>	131	No CIPN benefit observed	Placebo-controlled; double-blinded

incidence and/or severity of CIPN. A pilot study published by Pace et al.<sup>12</sup> looked at the neuroprotective effect of Vitamin E for preventing CIPN in 47 patients receiving cisplatin chemotherapy who were randomised to receive either Vitamin E (alpha-tocopherol, 300mg/d) with cisplatin treatment and for 3 months after therapy versus cisplatin treatment alone. In patients receiving vitamin E, they reported a significantly decreased incidence of peripheral neuropathy (31%, four of 13 patients) compared to those without vitamin E (86%, 12 of 14 patients).<sup>12</sup> These investigators also presented an abstract at the ASCO 2007 meeting which consisted of randomised double-blind clinical trial data supporting that vitamin E decreased cisplatin-induced CIPN.<sup>13</sup> This protocol studied patients receiving cisplatin chemotherapy who were randomised to vitamin E (alpha tocopherol 400 mg per day) versus placebo. The reported analysis was an interim one regarding the first 50 patients who had received cisplatin doses greater than 300 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. The abstract reported that there was a lower median neuropathy score in the vitamin E group ( $p < 0.05$ ) and that the clinical trial was still ongoing to better determine the efficacy of vitamin E for decreasing neuropathy in patients receiving cisplatin.<sup>13</sup>

In another pilot trial, conducted by Argyriou et al.,<sup>14</sup> 40 patients were randomised to receive Vitamin E (300 mg BID) versus no intervention, while receiving cancer treatment with six courses of cisplatin, paclitaxel or a combination of these two drugs. Thirty-one patients completed treatment and were included in the data analysis.<sup>14</sup> Results in this study were similar to the unblinded results seen by Pace et al.<sup>12</sup> In the intervention group, neurotoxicity occurred in four of the 16 patients (25%) versus 11 of 15 (73%) in the control group.<sup>14</sup>

Lastly, 207 patients receiving a variety of neurotoxic chemotherapy agents were randomised in a double-blinded manner into an NCCTG clinical trial examining the ability of Vitamin E 300 mg or placebo twice daily to decrease CIPN. Results of this trial should be available by early 2009.

Thus, so far, the available data do suggest that vitamin E may decrease chemotherapy-induced neuropathy. However, prior to widespread utilisation of vitamin E in patients receiving neurotoxic chemotherapy, it is important to consider another issue, that being the concern that vitamin E may interfere with the efficacy of cytotoxic therapy, as supplemental antioxidants during chemotherapy might interfere with the oxidative breakdown of cellular DNA and cell membranes necessary for cytotoxic agents to work.

Supporting this concern are two studies which utilised vitamin E for the prevention of radiation induced side effects

in head and neck cancer patients, and which suggest that vitamin E in this population may be contraindicated.<sup>15,16</sup> In one study,<sup>15</sup> patients receiving supplementation with vitamin E (400 IU/d) and beta-carotene (30 mg/d) versus placebo during radiation therapy for head and neck cancer were examined. Because of ethical concerns regarding reports that beta-carotene supplementation may increase the risk of lung cancer, they stopped the use of beta-carotene early and continued with the use of vitamin E alone versus placebo. This study reported a higher local recurrence rate among the group supplemented with both beta-carotene and vitamin E, and a modestly increased rate of recurrence in the vitamin E alone arm.<sup>15</sup> The other study<sup>16</sup> looked at the use of vitamin E (400 mg) versus placebo as a mouth rinse for the prevention of radiation induced mucositis. There was a poorer overall and median survival rate in the vitamin E arm versus placebo arm (32% and 8.5 months versus 63% and 12.5 months, respectively). However, the authors acknowledge that one potential confounding factor in the differences in survival was the higher prevalence of stage III and IV patients in the vitamin E group.<sup>16</sup>

Nonetheless, data regarding the use of vitamin E with concurrent chemotherapy are more reassuring. A recent literature review conducted by Ladas et al.<sup>17</sup> reported on six studies that evaluated the effect of anti-oxidant supplementation on survival and recurrence. Three of these studies found no effect on recurrence or survival, two reported a survival benefit, and one study reported an increase in survival in the short term (year 1), but not on longer term survival.<sup>17</sup>

Also reassuring, Leonetti et al.<sup>18</sup> conducted a study evaluating the effects of vitamin E on anti-tumour therapy with cisplatin both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. They found no significant difference on cell survival between *in vitro* cells treated with cisplatin alone versus those with the addition of vitamin E. They also found that, *in vivo*, cisplatin alone reduced the tumour by 40% and the addition of vitamin E had no effect on tumour growth.<sup>18</sup> Finally, a preclinical study by Pace et al.<sup>12</sup> was reported regarding immunosuppressed nude mice implanted with a human-melanoma xenograft, who were treated with cisplatin alone versus cisplatin plus vitamin E. They found no differences in terms of tumour weight inhibition, tumour growth delay, or a difference in life span in the combination group compared to the cisplatin group alone.<sup>12</sup>

In addition, another randomised study<sup>19</sup> reported the use of paclitaxel and carboplatin chemotherapy in 136 patients with stage IIIb or IV non-small cell lung cancer without versus with multiple high dose antioxidants (vitamin C, vitamin E,

and synthetic beta-carotene). They reported similar overall response rates (33% versus 37%), one year survivals (33% versus 39%), two year survivals (11% versus 16%), and median survivals (9 versus 11 months), respectively.<sup>19</sup>

To be more certain of the safety of using vitamin E with chemotherapy, it appears reasonable to conduct an additional trial to attempt to better clarify whether vitamin E will interfere with the anti-cancer activity of chemotherapy in a more homogenous group of patients receiving a uniform treatment programme. If the results of this trial are encouraging, then it may be reasonable to consider using vitamin E to prevent neurotoxic injury from neurotoxic chemotherapy agents.

### 2.3. Glutamine

The effectiveness of glutamine, known to up-regulate nerve growth factor mRNA in an animal model,<sup>20</sup> as a neuroprotective agent was suggested in two pilot trials.<sup>21,22</sup> Wang<sup>23</sup> recently reported results of a small randomised, open label (with a no treatment control arm) study using glutamine for prevention of oxaliplatin-induced neuropathy. Eighty six patients were randomised to receive glutamine 15 mg twice daily for seven consecutive days every 2 weeks following oxaliplatin infusion ( $n = 42$ ) or not to receive glutamine ( $n = 44$ ). A significantly lower incidence of grade 3–4 neuropathy was noted in the glutamine group after four cycles (5% versus 18%;  $p = 0.05$ ) and six cycles (12% versus 32%;  $p = 0.04$ ). The need for oxaliplatin dose reduction was lower in the glutamine group and there were no significant between-group differences in response to chemotherapy or survival.<sup>23</sup> While the results of this trial look promising, data are needed from a larger randomised placebo controlled trial, before it can be recommended for routine practice.

### 2.4. Glutathione and N-acetylcysteine

Glutathione has been shown to prevent the initial accumulation of platinum adducts in the dorsal root ganglia, which is the proposed mechanism for the development of neurotoxicity in patients receiving platinum agents. Two small randomised trials suggest that glutathione was beneficial for prevention of cisplatin<sup>24</sup> and oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathies,<sup>25</sup> while another trial demonstrated that the addition of glutathione to cisplatin therapy reduced toxicity and allowed more cycles of treatment to be administered.<sup>26</sup> N-acetylcysteine, an antioxidant drug which increases whole blood concentrations of glutathione, demonstrated a suggestion of benefit in preventing CIPN in patients receiving oxaliplatin in a small (14 patient) pilot study.<sup>27</sup> Thus, the utility of glutathione and N-acetylcysteine looks promising but needs further validation.

### 2.5. Anti-epileptic agents

Carbamazepine, an antiepileptic agent that inhibits sodium channel activity, has been suggested to have a role in preventing oxaliplatin neuropathy based on its effect in reversing oxaliplatin-induced sodium channel dysfunction. Nonetheless, results of a pilot trial ( $n = 12$ ) testing carbamazepine for

this indication were not supportive of a benefit from this drug.<sup>28</sup>

Oxcarbazepine, a keto-analogue of carbamazepine which inhibits high-frequency firing of nerves without impairing normal impulse conduction and modulates both voltage-sensitive sodium channels and high voltage-activated N-type calcium channels, has also been identified as a candidate for preventing oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathies. Results from a small randomised, open-label, controlled trial suggest that oxcarbazepine may protect against oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathies.<sup>29</sup> A larger placebo-controlled trial is needed to confirm these results.

### 2.6. Xaliproden

Results of a large randomised double blind placebo controlled phase III study ( $n = 649$ ) assessed the efficacy of xaliproden, an orally administered non-peptide neurotrophic agent, for reducing oxaliplatin-induced CIPN. These results were reported at the ASCO 2006 meeting. An overall CIPN rate of 73–74% was reported in the two groups, with a lower incidence of grade 3 CIPN, 17% versus 11%, favouring the xaliproden. However, xaliproden did not reduce the overall incidence of neurotoxicity, but rather shifted 5% of patients from grade 3 to grade 2 neurotoxicity. The use of xaliproden in this trial was not associated with a higher cumulative oxaliplatin-dose or a longer time on therapy. In addition, no shorter time to recovery was noted with xaliproden, although it has to be noted that the drug was discontinued at the same time when the oxaliplatin-based therapy was stopped. Xaliproden did not appear to reduce cancer response rates.<sup>30</sup> A phase III trial is ongoing to try and confirm these results and to investigate the benefit of continuing this agent after discontinuation of oxaliplatin.

### 2.7. Additional tested agents which do not appear to be effective for prevention of CIPN

Several small trials<sup>31–34</sup> have addressed the potential efficacy of amifostine for protection against CIPN, with the end result being that recent American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) clinical practice guidelines state that the available data do not support the use of amifostine for this indication.<sup>35</sup>

A small randomised placebo-controlled trial of nimodipine, a calcium channel antagonist, for prevention of cisplatin induced peripheral neuropathy was negative, with the suggestion that it may actually exacerbate neurotoxicity.<sup>36</sup>

Despite early preclinical data and clinical experience suggesting benefit from Org 2766<sup>37</sup> (an adrenocorticotrophic hormone analogue) for prevention of cisplatin induced neuropathies, larger randomised placebo-controlled trials failed to demonstrate any reduction in peripheral neuropathy,<sup>38,39</sup> with one trial actually suggesting that it may increase neuropathy.<sup>39</sup>

Lastly, Davis et al.<sup>40</sup> reported data on 117 patients who were randomised to receive two doses of a recombinant human leukaemia inhibitory factor (rhLIF) versus a placebo for prevention of carboplatin/paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy, with negative results.<sup>40</sup>

### 3. Treatment of established CIPN

As opposed to trying to prevent CIPN, a number of randomised studies have been designed to find ways of treating established CIPN.

#### 3.1. Tricyclic antidepressants

One of the first randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blinded clinical trials to look at the treatment of established CIPN was a relatively small one ( $n = 57$ ) conducted by the NCCTG that studied the tricyclic antidepressant nortriptyline.<sup>41</sup> The rationale for this trial was that tricyclic antidepressants had been shown in controlled trials to be effective in treating pain associated with diabetic neuropathy and other neuropathies.<sup>42</sup> This trial, however, was unable to demonstrate any statistically significant improvement for nortriptyline, compared to a placebo, for chemotherapy-induced pain or paraesthesias.<sup>41</sup> Another small randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study examined the efficacy of low-dose amitriptyline as treatment for CIPN, with patients receiving a maximum of 50 mg of oral amitriptyline ( $n = 22$ ) versus placebo ( $n = 22$ ) for 8 weeks, was also unable to demonstrate any benefit for this drug to improve neuropathic symptoms.<sup>43</sup>

#### 3.2. Gabapentin

A randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blinded NCCTG clinical trial studied the utility of gabapentin, an anticonvulsant structurally similar to the neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA). This drug had been shown to be effective in treating neuropathic pain from a variety of illnesses including diabetes, postherpetic neuralgia and post-amputation phantom pain syndromes.<sup>44</sup> Gabapentin, and its newer analogue pregabalin, have been commonly used in clinical practice to treat symptoms of CIPN, in part due to anecdotal information suggesting potential utility.<sup>45</sup> Nonetheless, this NCCTG randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled crossover trial ( $n = 115$ ) illustrated that gabapentin was no better than placebo in ameliorating pain ( $p = 0.18$ ) or symptoms of peripheral neuropathy ( $p = 0.38$ ).<sup>46</sup>

#### 3.3. Lamotrigine

The NCCTG also evaluated another anticonvulsant, lamotrigine, based on reported data suggesting that this drug was effective for treating a number of neuropathic syndromes. This, again, was a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled crossover trial ( $n = 131$ ) which, likewise, was unable to show any CIPN improvement with lamotrigine over a placebo.<sup>47</sup>

#### 3.4. Topical baclofen, amitriptyline, and ketamine

Currently, the NCCTG is exploring another modality for treating established CIPN pain. This involves the use of a topical combination agent approach which potentially affords higher drug doses at sites of pain and thus, theoretically, has a greater chance of local effectiveness without undesirable systemic

side effects. The treatment being studied is a combination product of baclofen, amitriptyline, and ketamine, representing three separate complementary mechanisms of action of pain control. This work is based on substantial preliminary data<sup>48–52</sup> and positive clinical practice experience with this product. Results from this trial should be available by early 2009.

#### 3.5. Acetyl-L-carnitine

Lastly, animal data suggest that acetyl-L-carnitine may be useful for prevention and/or reduction of paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy.<sup>53</sup> Bianchi et al.<sup>54</sup> report positive pilot experience using this substance in 25 patients with grade 3 neuropathy receiving paclitaxel or cisplatin therapy. Further data are needed to validate these results.

## 4. The paclitaxel acute pain syndrome

This section will discuss a commonly appreciated paclitaxel toxicity which, to date, has not been well recognised as being a neurologic toxicity. This paclitaxel-induced toxicity is a bothersome syndrome of subacute aches and pains, that have been commonly referred to as arthralgias and myalgias; a symptom complex that has been described in up to 58% of patients receiving paclitaxel.<sup>55–58</sup> These symptoms generally begin 1–3 days after drug administration and are usually self-limited, often resolving within 7 days. Symptoms have been described in large axial muscular and joint regions and generally are not accompanied by objective musculoskeletal or neurologic examination changes.

Recently, it was described that these pains occurring a few days after paclitaxel administration do not actually appear to be from injury to muscles or joints, but, rather, appear to be from neurologic injury.<sup>59</sup> After learning that paclitaxel administered to animals causes nerve injury within 24 h of administration<sup>60,61</sup> and subsequent questioning of patients about their symptom experience, this situation was recognised as likely being from a pathologic process affecting nerve tissue. This led to a small pilot project, whereby 18 Mayo Clinic patients, who noted the presence of subacute aches and pains following paclitaxel, were studied utilising structured interviews to characterise their symptoms.<sup>59</sup> This work revealed that the pain symptoms typically began 1–2 days after the patients received paclitaxel and lasted for a median of 4–5 days. Pain was most commonly located in the back, hips, shoulders, thighs, legs and feet, with the most common descriptors used being ‘aching’ or ‘deep pain’. Commonly used adjectives to describe the pain were: radiating, shooting, aching, stabbing and pulsating. Some patients described increased pain with weight bearing, walking, or tactile contact. When directly asked whether the pains appeared to be specifically localised to either joints or muscles, 15 of 18 patients denied that this was the case.

Based on the nature and temporal occurrence of the paclitaxel acute pain syndrome symptoms, this manuscript hypothesised that the paclitaxel acute pain syndrome occurs as a result of sensitisation of nociceptors, their fibres or the spinothalamic system, as opposed to a musculoskeletal

injury. The symptom location, temporal relationship and self-limited nature of the syndrome make paclitaxel-induced acute pain syndrome distinct from the more chronic paclitaxel-associated peripheral neuropathy.<sup>59</sup>

The paclitaxel-induced acute pain syndrome following paclitaxel infusion has commonly been treated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), acetaminophen and/or opioid pain medications. Few studies, mostly case series, have investigated the role of other medications in both prevention and treatment. Studies using Shakyaku-Kanzo-To (a Japanese herb),<sup>62</sup> antihistamines,<sup>63</sup> corticosteroids,<sup>64</sup> opioid analgesics<sup>65</sup> and amifostine<sup>32</sup> have not yielded enough evidence to establish a standard practice.

The only reported controlled, double-blinded prevention study evaluated glutamine, versus placebo, for the prevention of paclitaxel-induced acute pains.<sup>66</sup> Glutamine was chosen as a study medication based on previous case reports that suggested that it was efficacious in this setting. This study involved patients who had received prior paclitaxel, who had reported troubles with sub-acute pain after this treatment, and who were still expected to receive at least two more cycles of the drug. Participants received 10 g of glutamine or a placebo three times per day for 5 days after their next dose of paclitaxel. Then, on their subsequent cycle of paclitaxel, they were crossed over to the opposite treatment. The primary endpoint was the change in severity or duration of the paclitaxel-induced acute pain. With a total of 46 patients accrued on this study, there were, unfortunately, no significant differences between the two groups for any of the pain ratings.<sup>66</sup>

Case series reports of two<sup>67</sup> and ten<sup>68</sup> patients have suggested that gabapentin can prevent the paclitaxel-induced acute pain syndrome. Despite the data noted above that demonstrate that gabapentin does not effectively alleviate the chronic CIPN associated with paclitaxel, the recent suggestion that paclitaxel-induced acute pain syndrome is, in fact, a process involving nociceptive fibres that, clinically, is distinct from paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy, suggests a potential for the clinical utility of gabapentin in this situation. This supports the development of a randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial to further investigate this potential.

## 5. Closing remarks

In closing, CIPN is a prominent clinical problem that is beginning to be investigated in some detail. It has recently become apparent that CaMg therapy can attenuate the development of oxaliplatin-caused CIPN. Whether this therapy will effectively attenuate CIPN from other cytotoxic agents is not known. Vitamin E may attenuate the development of CIPN, but more data regarding its efficacy and safety should be obtained prior to its general use in patients. Other drugs that look promising in preliminary studies, but need substantiation, include glutamine, glutathione, N-acetylcysteine, oxcarbazepine and xaliproden. An effective treatment of established CIPN, however, has yet to be found. Lastly, the paclitaxel acute pain syndrome appears to be caused by neurologic injury, as opposed to a pathologic process affecting muscles or joints. No drugs, to date, have been proven to prevent this toxicity.

## Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

## REFERENCES

- Kannarkat G, Lasher EE, Schiff D. Neurologic complications of chemotherapy agents. *Current Opinion in Neurology* 2007;**20**(6):719–25.
- Windebank AJ, Grisold W. Chemotherapy-induced neuropathy. *J Peripher Nerv Syst* 2008;**13**(1):27–46.
- Quasthoff S, Hartung HP. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Journal of Neurology* 2002;**249**(1):9–17.
- Windebank AJ. Chemotherapeutic neuropathy. *Current Opinion in Neurology* 1999;**12**(5):565–71.
- LoMonaco M, Milone M, Batocchi AP, et al. Cisplatin neuropathy: clinical course and neurophysiological findings. *Journal of Neurology* 1992;**239**(4):199–204.
- Armstrong CM, Cota G. Calcium block of Na<sup>+</sup> channels and its effect on closing rate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;**96**(7):4154–7.
- Gamelin L, Boisdron-Celle M, Delva R, et al. Prevention of oxaliplatin-related neurotoxicity by calcium and magnesium infusions: a retrospective study of 161 patients receiving oxaliplatin combined with 5-Fluorouracil and leucovorin for advanced colorectal cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2004;**10**(12 Pt 1):4055–61.
- Hochster HS, Grothey A, Childs BH. Use of calcium and magnesium salts to reduce oxaliplatin-related neurotoxicity. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2007;**25**(25):4028–9.
- Hochster HS, Grothey A, Shpilsky A, Childs BH. Effect of intravenous (IV) calcium and magnesium (Ca/Mg) versus placebo on response to FOLFOX+bevacizumab (BEV) in the CONCEPT trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2008 ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings [Abstract 280], 2008.
- Nikcevic DA, Grothey A, Sloan JA, et al. A phase III randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of intravenous calcium/magnesium to prevent oxaliplatin-induced sensory neurotoxicity, N04C7. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2008 ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings [Abstract 4009], 2008.
- Gamelin L, Boisdron-Celle M, Morel A, et al. Oxaliplatin-related neurotoxicity: interest of calcium-magnesium infusion and no impact on its efficacy. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;**26**(7):1188–9.
- Pace A, Savarese A, Picardo M, et al. Neuroprotective effect of vitamin E supplementation in patients treated with cisplatin chemotherapy. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2003;**21**(5):927–31.
- Pace A, Carpano S, Galiè E, et al. Vitamin E in the neuroprotection of cisplatin induced peripheral neurotoxicity and ototoxicity. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2007;**25**(18S).
- Argyriou AA, Chroni E, Koutras A, et al. Vitamin E for prophylaxis against chemotherapy-induced neuropathy: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2005;**64**(1):26–31.
- Bairati I, Meyer F, Gelinias M, et al. Randomized trial of antioxidant vitamins to prevent acute adverse effects of radiation therapy in head and neck cancer patients. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2005;**23**(24):5808–13.
- Ferreira P, Fleck J, Diehl A, et al. Protective effect of alpha-tocopherol in head and neck cancer radiation-induced mucositis: A double-blind randomized trial. *Head Neck* 2004;**26**:313–21.
- Ladas EJ, Jacobson JS, Kennedy DD, et al. Antioxidants and cancer therapy: a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2004;**22**(3):517–28.
- Leonetti C, Biroccio A, Gabellini C, et al. Alpha-tocopherol protects against cisplatin-induced toxicity without interfering

- with antitumor efficacy. *International Journal of Cancer* 2003;104(2):243–50.
19. Pathak A, Bhutani M, Guleria R, et al. Chemotherapy alone vs. chemotherapy plus high dose multiple antioxidants in patients with advanced non small cell lung cancer. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 2005;24(1):16–21.
  20. Gwag BJ, Sessler FM, Robine V, et al. Endogenous glutamate levels regulate nerve growth factor mRNA expression in the rat dentate gyrus. *Mol Cells* 1997;7(3):425–30.
  21. Vahdat L, Papadopoulos K, Lange D, et al. Reduction of paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy with glutamine. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2001;7(5):1192–7.
  22. Stubblefield MD, Vahdat LT, Balmaceda CM, et al. Glutamine as a neuroprotective agent in high-dose paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy: a clinical and electrophysiologic study. *Clinical Oncology (Royal College of Radiologists)* 2005;17(4):271–6.
  23. Wang WS, Lin JK, Lin TC, et al. Oral glutamine is effective for preventing oxaliplatin-induced neuropathy in colorectal cancer patients. *Oncologist* 2007;12(3):312–9.
  24. Cascinu S, Cordella L, Del Ferro E, et al. Neuroprotective effect of reduced glutathione on cisplatin-based chemotherapy in advanced gastric cancer: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 1995;13(1):26–32.
  25. Cascinu S, Catalano V, Cordella L, et al. Neuroprotective effect of reduced glutathione on oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy in advanced colorectal cancer: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2002;20(16):3478–83.
  26. Smyth JF, Bowman A, Perren T, et al. Glutathione reduces the toxicity and improves quality of life of women diagnosed with ovarian cancer treated with cisplatin: results of a double-blind, randomised trial. *Annals of Oncology* 1997;8(6):569–73.
  27. Lin PC, Lee MY, Wang WS, et al. N-acetylcysteine has neuroprotective effects against oxaliplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in colon cancer patients: preliminary data. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2006;14(5):484–7.
  28. Wilson RH, Lehky T, Thomas RR, et al. Acute oxaliplatin-induced peripheral nerve hyperexcitability. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2002;20(7):1767–74.
  29. Argyriou AA, Chroni E, Polychronopoulos P, et al. Efficacy of oxcarbazepine for prophylaxis against cumulative oxaliplatin-induced neuropathy. *Neurology* 2006;67(12):2253–5.
  30. Cassidy J, Bjarnason G, Hickish T, et al. Randomized double blind (DB) placebo (Plcb) controlled phase III study assessing the efficacy of xaliproden (X) in reducing the cumulative peripheral sensory neuropathy (PSN) induced by the oxaliplatin (Ox) and 5-FU/LV combination (FOLFOX4) in first line treatment of patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (MCRC). *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2006;24(suppl 18S):3507. abstr 229.
  31. Hilpert F, Stahle A, Tome O, et al. Neuroprotection with amifostine in the first-line treatment of advanced ovarian cancer with carboplatin/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy—a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized phase II study from the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynakologische Onkologie (AGO) Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2005;13(10):797–805.
  32. Gelmon K, Eisenhauer E, Bryce C, et al. Randomized phase II study of high-dose paclitaxel with or without amifostine in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 1999;17(10):3038–47.
  33. Moore DH, Donnelly J, McGuire WP, et al. Limited access trial using amifostine for protection against cisplatin- and three-hour paclitaxel-induced neurotoxicity: a phase II study of the Gynecologic Oncology Group. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2003;21(22):4207–13.
  34. Leong SS, Tan EH, Fong KW, et al. Randomized double-blind trial of combined modality treatment with or without amifostine in unresectable stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2003;21(9):1767–74.
  35. Schuchter LM, Hensley ML, Meropol NJ, et al. 2002 update of recommendations for the use of chemotherapy and radiotherapy protectants: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20(12):2895–903.
  36. Cassidy J, Paul J, Soukop M, et al. Clinical trials of nimodipine as a potential neuroprotector in ovarian cancer patients treated with cisplatin. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 1998;41(2):161–6.
  37. van der Hoop RG, Vecht CJ, van der Burg ME, et al. Prevention of cisplatin neurotoxicity with an ACTH(4-9) analogue in patients with ovarian cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1990;322(2):89–94.
  38. Koeppen S, Verstappen CC, Korte R, et al. Lack of neuroprotection by an ACTH (4-9) analogue. A randomized trial in patients treated with vincristine for Hodgkin's or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2004;130(3):153–60.
  39. Roberts JA, Jenison EL, Kim K, et al. A randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-finding study of ORG 2766 in the prevention or delay of cisplatin-induced neuropathies in women with ovarian cancer. *Gynecologic Oncology* 1997;67(2):172–7.
  40. Davis ID, Kiers L, MacGregor L, et al. A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase II trial of recombinant human leukemia inhibitory factor (rhULIF, emflermin, AM424) to prevent chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2005;11(5):1890–8.
  41. Hammack JE, Michalak JC, Loprinzi CL, et al. Phase III evaluation of nortriptyline for alleviation of symptoms of cis-platinum-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Pain* 2002;98(1-2):195–203.
  42. Max MB, Lynch SA, Muir J, et al. Effects of desipramine, amitriptyline, and fluoxetine on pain in diabetic neuropathy. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326(19):1250–6.
  43. Kautio A-L, Haanpaa M, Saarto T, et al. Amitriptyline in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced neuropathic symptoms. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 2008;35(1):31–9.
  44. Mellegers MA, Furlan AD, Mailis A. Gabapentin for neuropathic pain: systematic review of controlled and uncontrolled literature. *Clinical Journal of Pain* 2001;17(4):284–95.
  45. Mariani G, Garrone O, Granetto C, et al. Oxaliplatin Induced Neuropathy: Could Gabapentin be the Answer? *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2000:19. abstr 2397.
  46. Rao RD, Michalak JC, Sloan JA, et al. Efficacy of gabapentin in the management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial (N00C3). *Cancer* 2007;110(9):2110–8.
  47. Rao RD, Flynn PJ, Sloan JA, et al. The efficacy of lamotrigine in the management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A phase III randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial, N01C3. *Cancer* [in press].
  48. Lockhart E. Topical combination of amitriptyline and ketamine for post herpetic neuralgia. *J Pain* 2004;5(S182).
  49. Lynch ME, Clark AJ, Sawynok J. A pilot study examining topical amitriptyline, ketamine, and a combination of both in the treatment of neuropathic pain. *Clinical Journal of Pain* 2003;19(5):323–8.
  50. Lynch ME, Clark AJ, Sawynok J, et al. Topical 2% amitriptyline and 1% ketamine in neuropathic pain syndromes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Anesthesiology* 2005;103(1):140–6.



51. Lynch ME, Clark AJ, Sawynok J, et al. Topical amitriptyline and ketamine in neuropathic pain syndromes: an open-label study. *Journal of Pain* 2005;**6**(10):644–9.
52. Gammaitoni A, Gallagher RM, Welz-Bosna M. Topical ketamine gel: possible role in treating neuropathic pain. *Pain Medicine* 2000;**1**(1):97–100.
53. Flatters SJ, Xiao WH, Bennett GJ. Acetyl-L-carnitine prevents and reduces paclitaxel-induced painful peripheral neuropathy. *Neuroscience Letters* 2006;**397**(3):219–23.
54. Bianchi G, Vitali G, Caraceni A, et al. Symptomatic and neurophysiological responses of paclitaxel- or cisplatin-induced neuropathy to oral acetyl-L-carnitine. *European Journal of Cancer* 2005;**41**(12):1746–50.
55. Kunitoh H, Saijo N, Furuse K, et al. Neuromuscular toxicities of paclitaxel 210 mg m<sup>-2</sup> by 3-hour infusion. *Br J Cancer* 1998;**77**(10):1686–8.
56. Onetto N, Canetta R, Winograd B, et al. Overview of Taxol safety. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr* 1993;**15**:131–9.
57. Rowinsky EK, Burke PJ, Karp JE, et al. Phase I and pharmacodynamic study of taxol in refractory acute leukemias. *Cancer Research* 1989;**49**(16):4640–7.
58. Rowinsky EK, Onetto N, Canetta RM, et al. Taxol: the first of the taxanes, an important new class of antitumor agents. *Semin Oncol* 1992;**19**(6):646–62.
59. Loprinzi CL, Maddocks-Christianson K, Wolf S, et al. The paclitaxel acute pain syndrome: sensitization of nociceptors as the putative mechanism. *The Cancer Journal* 2007;**13**(6):399–403.
60. Jimenez-Andrade J, Peters C, Mejia N, et al. Sensory neurons and their supporting cells located in the trigeminal, thoracic and lumbar ganglia differentially express markers of injury following intravenous administration of paclitaxel in the rat. *Neuroscience Letters* 2006;**405**(1–2):62–7.
61. Peters CM, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Jonas BM, et al. Intravenous paclitaxel administration in the rat induces a peripheral sensory neuropathy characterized by macrophage infiltration and injury to sensory neurons and their supporting cells. *Exp Neurol* 2007;**203**(1):42–54.
62. Fujiwara H, Urabe T, Ueda K, et al. Prevention of arthralgia and myalgia from paclitaxel and carboplatin combination chemotherapy with Shakuyaku-kanzo-to. *Gan to Kagaku Ryoho Japanese Journal of Cancer & Chemotherapy* 2000;**27**(7):1061–4.
63. Martoni A, Zamagni C, Gheka A, Pannuti F. Antihistamines in the treatment of taxol-induced paroxysmic pain syndrome. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 1993;**85**(8):676.
64. Markman M, Kennedy A, Webster K, Kulp B, Peterson G, Belinson J. Use of low-dose oral prednisone to prevent paclitaxel-induced arthralgias and myalgias. *Gynecologic Oncology* 1999;**72**(1):100–1.
65. Sarris AH, Younes A, McLaughlin P, et al. Cyclosporin A does not reverse clinical resistance to paclitaxel in patients with relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 1996;**14**(1):233–9.
66. Jacobson SD, Loprinzi CL, Sloan JA, et al. Glutamine does not prevent paclitaxel-associated myalgias and arthralgias. *The Journal of Supportive Oncology* 2003;**1**(4):274–8.
67. van Deventer H, Bernard S. Use of gabapentin to treat taxane-induced myalgias. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 1999;**17**(1):434–5.
68. Nguyen VH, Lawrence HJ. Use of gabapentin in the prevention of taxane-induced arthralgias and myalgias. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;**22**:1767–9.
69. Argyriou AA, Chroni E, Koutras A, et al. A randomized controlled trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of vitamin E supplementation for protection against cisplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy: final results. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2006;**14**(11):1134–40.